

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2015-34-E**PETITION IN REQUEST for PEREMPTORY****ORDER TO RESTORE**

February 12, 2015

DOCKET DESCRIPTION:

Rosalyn Geer, Complainant/Petitioner v. Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, Defendant/Respondent

HEARING EXAMINER:

Josh Mingos; Esquire

Legal Staff; SC Public Service Commission

In due and diligent compliance with directive 2015-6-H, in the SC Public Service Commission the Plaintiff/Petitioner, Ms. Rosalyn R. Geer, affirms and complies in the estate of abeyance, citing mandatory pre-filing of motions, to address and adhere to the applicable body of rules and regulations in South Carolina governing Alternative Dispute Resolution and/or the respective estate of voluntary negotiations as entered and continuing by and between Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. and/or its agency or agents and the aforementioned Plaintiff/Petitioner: Ms. Rosalyn R. Geer.

The Plaintiff, in evidencing the continuing effort to resolve the formal SC Public Service Commission Complaint Citing Docket 2015-34-E and in esteem of needful relief from extenuating medical need and/or with foresight and respect for potential adverse weather conditions as pending, potentially impactful and advised forecast outcome in the Southeast United States at present and/or potentially giving rise to the worsening climatic environmental de-escalation of reasonable temperatures to date in the regional locale;

The Plaintiff hereby and forthwith enters **PETITION IN REQUEST for PEREMPTORY ORDER TO RESTORE** Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC Electric Utility at the Plaintiff's Residence: 207 Ramsey Road; Anderson, SC 29621-4601 situate in ANDERSON COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.

RECEIVED
FEB 12 2015
SOUTH CAROLINA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

The Plaintiff, with respect for the ongoing estate of negotiations with Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. and the SC Office of Regulatory Staff in effort to attain full and necessary accord, including but not restricted to quasi agreement affording the Plaintiff to reasonably avail her person and corporate household of all just, applicable and codified law, covenants, directives and/or dicta appertaining to the estate of SC PSC Docket in Geer v. Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. as open before the SC Public Service Commission: 2015-34-E, hereby requests the respective parties of case:


Barbara G. Yarborough; Regulatory Affairs Manager – Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. ; Heather Smith; Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. ; Faith Shehane; SC Office of Regulatory Staff – Legal Division; Shannon Hudson; SC Office of Regulatory Staff – Legal Division and Toni Hawkins of Robinson Law LLC.; Bonnie D. Shealy; Robinson Law LLC. and/or all other applicable and/or necessary parties of case,

to affirm and approve the Plaintiff's **PETITION IN REQUEST for PEREMPTORY ORDER TO RESTORE** citing the Plaintiff's Duke Energy Residential Electric Utility; 207 Ramsey Road; Anderson, SC 29621-4601.

The Plaintiff hereby identifies and incorporates receipt of the excerpted and pending quasi agreement as received from Barbara G. Yarbrough; Regulatory Affairs Manager – Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. on 02.09.2015 at 12:00 PM incorporating directives received as though stated verbatim herein, observed and adhered by the Plaintiff.

So then, the Plaintiff duly and diligently observes complicit conduct in as much as depends upon the Plaintiff to convey the applicable and continuing estate of all reasonable address and relevant case matter pending before the Honorable Josh Minges; Esq. – SC Public Service Commission and the applicable aforementioned parties of case, as captioned above, in the SC Public Service Commission Docket 2015-34-E.

Findings of Law: SC Code/Laws: Title 58: 58-27-40; 58-27-140; 58-27-150; 58-27-160; 58-27-210; 58-27-250.



Rosalyn R. Geer

Plaintiff Pro Se

ANDERSON, SOUTH CAROLINA

February 12, 2015

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2015-34-E

PEREMPTORY

ORDER TO RESTORE

February 12, 2015

The SC Public Service Commission, the Honorable Josh Mingos Esq. presiding, hereby **APPROVES / DENIES** the Plaintiff's **PEREMPTORY ORDER TO RESTORE**. The commission further identifies the continuing estate of negotiations between the Plaintiff, Duke Energy of the Carolinas LLC. and/or the SC Office of Regulatory Staff in the following:

Findings of Fact:

Findings of Law:

Josh Mingos; Esq.

Hearing Examiner

SC Public Service Commission

101 Executive Center Dr.

Columbia, SC 29210

P: 803.896.5100

F: 803.896.5231

Duke, Daphne

From: Minges, Josh
Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2015 10:14 AM
To: Duke, Daphne
Subject: FW: Geer v Duke Energy - Conference Call Information
Attachments: 2015.34.E.pdf

Would you please post this to Docket No. 2015-34-E

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosalyn R Geer [mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu]

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 6:01 PM

To: Minges, Josh; Bonnie D. Shealy; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy - Conference Call Information

Sir/All:

Please receive and review the attached PETITION IN REQUEST for PEREMPTORY ORDER TO RESTORE as identifying the continuing estate of negotiations as between the defendant parties and/or the Plaintiff at this time. I pray that compliance is duly acknowledged and observed in the applicable and necessary submission. Negotiations continue.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosalyn Geer

From: Minges, Josh <Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov>

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 12:48 PM

To: Bonnie D. Shealy; Rosalyn R Geer; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy - Conference Call Information

My pleasure. Below are the instructions to join the call next Tuesday.

Title: PSC-D. Easterling

Date: Tue 02/17/2015

Starting: 2:00pm EST+ DST (Eastern)

Duration: 60 minutes

Participants: 10

RECEIVED
FEB 17 2015
10:14 AM
JOSH MINGES

Moderator Code: (none)

Conferee Code: 183939

Dial In Access:

Instructions:

1. Please choose the access number local to you

803-726-9796
864-908-3279
843-737-7035

2. Please follow the voice prompts and, when asked, enter the Code 183939 followed by the # key. You will be connected to the conference, if your Code is correct. If you are disconnected for any reason, repeat instructions above.

Tips to Improve Call Quality

When you are not speaking, please mute your phone.

Please use land lines instead of cellular phones when possible.

If you have any problems, please contact the DTO Help Desk at 803-896-0001 option 2.

Please reference Conference Number 134386

During emergency support function (ESF) activations your scheduled call may be cancelled with little or no advanced warning.

From: Bonnie D. Shealy [mailto:BShealy@robinsonlaw.com]

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 11:32 AM

To: Minges, Josh; Rosalyn R Geer; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E); Directive 2015-6-H

Thanks Josh.

-----Original Message-----

From: Minges, Josh [mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 11:23 AM

To: Rosalyn R Geer; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; Bonnie D. Shealy; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E); Directive 2015-6-H

Folks,

Since Ms. Geer is available at 2:00 next Tuesday, February 17, I will be setting up the conference call as we discussed during the last call.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosalyn R Geer [mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu]

Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2015 10:52 AM

To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E); Directive 2015-6-H

Sir/All: Please receive reply in confirmation that I will be available for the call tentatively scheduled for 02.17.2015 at 2:00 PM. Thank you to so tactfully and diligently afford reschedule of the forum. As the commission and all parties are aware, I continue in negotiation with Duke-Energy and Mrs. Barbara Yarborough as well as the SC ORS at this time and observe instruction directed to me by Ms. Yarborough in her communication.

I affirm the status of abeyance and/or directive(s) at this time: 2015-6-H citing PSC Docket 2015-34-E. Thank you. May you each be blessed. My payment of \$36.00 to Duke Energy is satisfied though negotiations continue. I realize solely the quasi-contract estate promoting just and reasonable relief to me in view of my interim payment of full account balance. It is my further hope that full accord will promote Order to Restore as expeditiously as possible, even today, as cold weather is anticipated: <http://ow.ly/IWHMp>, and extenuating circumstance comprises continuing familial detriment.

I will contact the designated agents to identify proposed terms of hopeful full accord and/or agreement for publication in writing. It is my esteemed pleasure to work with each of you in the matter and estate of conflict resolution management. My further service of necessary documentation will be addressed to the SC PSC Account and thereby served to all v/Clerk and/or Hearing Examiner Minges; Esq. Again, thank you. I bid you, each respectively, peace and goodwill as I anticipate closure in full relief and/or equitable remedy in the matters at hand.

Thank you,
Rosalyn Geer

From: Rosalyn R Geer

Sent: Monday, February 9, 2015 11:24 AM

To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Mr. Minges/All: I will address pre-arrangement of the call. I will confirm tentative arrangement by e-mail shortly.

Sincerely,
Ms. Rosalyn Geer

From: Minges, Josh <Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov<mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov>>

Sent: Monday, February 9, 2015 11:13 AM

To: Rosalyn R Geer; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Folks,

I am scheduling the Motion to Dismiss to come up for a vote at the Commission's next scheduled meeting after this week, which will be on Wednesday, February 25, 2015.

Ms. Geer, since everyone else was already on the phone this morning, we tentatively rescheduled the conference call for next Tuesday, February 17, at 2:00. Please let me know if you can make it then.

Josh Minges
Hearing Examiner

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosalyn R Geer [mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu]
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 10:59 AM
To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins
Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Mr. Minges/All:

I will anticipate notification of the rearranged conference call. It is my sincere hope to protect and promote the most reasonable consumer protection and medical outcome(s) in view of my estate of recovery in respiratory and bodily health and reasonable life homeostasis. I fundamentally strive to attain contractual terms as a residential consumer, comparable to the commercial medical and/or centers of academia, in best interest of self and minor dependents as we respectively strive to promote and protect our family unit, respective educations and hopeful employment with reasonable accommodation on my behalf.

It is my hope that Duke Energy will find satisfactory, my effort as obliged in best interest of my corporate household. Contractual terms will promote both needful consumer and medical protections affording sustainable and functional engagement of my reasonable ability to provision my household economically and materially. Mine is the most honorable of all intentions as previously shared with Mrs. Dawn Hipp of the SC ORS. It is my hope that we will work together to each respectively afford the others the best possible consumer public and/or service sector outcome.

Sincerely,
Ms. Rosalyn Geer

From: Rosalyn R Geer
Sent: Monday, February 9, 2015 10:36 AM
To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins
Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Yes sir, Mr. Minges. I will gladly work to rearrange a satisfactory landline for next week. I look forward to the discussion. Just this morning, I met with a local crisis agency who offered to commit an apportioned sum of consideration to Duke Energy. If Duke Energy will comply, I am hopeful to restore the utility as it is encumbering and medically compromising be deprived use of my nebulizer equipment in my home. Is the defendant party willing to negotiate prior to next week. Thank you to work so expeditiously with the case matter(s) sir.

Cordially,
Ms. Geer

From: Minges, Josh <Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov<mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov>>

Sent: Monday, February 9, 2015 10:30 AM

To: Rosalyn R Geer; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Ms. Geer,

Please reply all, when corresponding with me. I understand that you are unable to make the conference call. Would you like to reschedule? I am going to be putting the Motion to Dismiss on the Commission's agenda for next week, and it is in your best interest to discuss this matter with everyone.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosalyn R Geer [mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu]

Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 10:25 AM

To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Good Morning:

I have entered an Alternate Mandamus requesting our hearing examiner, Mr. Minges, to address the respectively delineated matters of formal complaint. It is imperative to proceed in the PSC context as my cell provider is persistently experiencing technical problems this morning. I do not have landline access. I apologize for the inconvenience to which this discrepancy gives rise. Please note, therefore, my request with respect for needful continuance. I have precluded my filing deadline, February 13, 2015 and hope Mr. Minges will work with me. My request addresses need for residential service contract and medical account elevation status. Deposit waiver is requested. I have been a customer for more than one year. In closing, thank you.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosalyn Geer

From: Minges, Josh <Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov<mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov>>

Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2015 12:28 PM

To: Rosalyn R Geer; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Instructions for Monday's conference call are below. I look forward to talking to you then.

Title: PSC - D. Easterling

Date: Mon 02/09/2015

Starting: 10:30am EST+ DST (Eastern)

Duration: 60 minutes

Participants: 10

Moderator Code: (none)

Conferee Code: 175929

Dial In Access Instructions:

1. Please choose the access number local to you

803-726-9796

864-908-3279

843-737-7035

2. Please follow the voice prompts and, when asked, enter the Code 175929 followed by the # key. You will be connected to the conference, if your Code is correct. If you are disconnected for any reason, repeat instructions above.

Tips to Improve Call Quality

When you are not speaking, please mute your phone.

Please use land lines instead of cellular phones when possible.

If you have any problems, please contact the DTO Help Desk at 803-896-0001 option 2.

Please reference Conference Number 134312

During emergency support function (ESF) activations your scheduled call may be cancelled with little or no advanced warning.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosalyn R Geer [mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu]

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 11:44 AM

To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>;

bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Sir/All: Thank you.

From: Minges, Josh <Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov<mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov>>

Sent: Thursday, February 5, 2015 11:38 AM

To: Hudson, Shannon; Rosalyn R Geer; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>;

bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Thank you, everyone. I'll set up a call in number for 10:30 on Monday. You'll get an email from me later in the day with the details.

-----Original Message-----

From: Hudson, Shannon

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 11:02 AM

To: Minges, Josh; rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu>;

heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>;

bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

ORS is available 10:30am on Monday.

Shannon

-----Original Message-----

From: Minges, Josh

Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 10:04 AM

To: rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu>; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; Hudson, Shannon; bshealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:bshealy@robinsonlaw.com>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Toni Hawkins
Subject: FW: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Folks,

I am forwarding correspondence from Ms. Geer that I received this morning, stating that she will be unavailable for a conference call at 10:30 tomorrow. However, she will be available on Monday (Feb. 09). Would everyone be able to join a call around 10:30 on Monday? I can do it at other times, if necessary.

I will also be forwarding another email that I received from the Complainant without all of the parties attached.

Ms. Geer, please note that as the hearing examiner in your case, I am like a judge. As such, there are rules for fairness that prevent communication with just one party. From now on, make sure to include everyone when you email me.

Josh Minges
Hearing Examiner

-----Original Message-----

From: Rosalyn R Geer [mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu]
Sent: Thursday, February 05, 2015 9:13 AM
To: Minges, Josh
Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Sir: Thank you for your timely disclosure affirming time constraint as less than the 30-day Rule. My reply will be introduced either prior to or no later than 5:00PM on February 13, 2015. Please affirm. I am further in prior arrangement to enact a meeting and will not be available on Friday morning at 10:30.

However, a later conference call, perhaps 4:00 PM is more accessible based upon my availability for 02.06.2014. If 4:00 PM is not an acceptable time, then please let's identify a time on 02.09.2015 to confer in a preliminary regard solely. May you enjoy a blessed weekend.

Thank you,

Ms. Rosalyn Geer

From: Minges, Josh <Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov<mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov>>
Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2015 10:59 AM
To: Smith, Heather Shirley; Bonnie D. Shealy; Toni Hawkins; Hudson, Shannon; Rosalyn R Geer; Yarbrough, Barbara G
Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

No problem, Heather.

From: Smith, Heather Shirley [mailto:Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 10:56 AM
To: Bonnie D. Shealy; Minges, Josh; Toni Hawkins; Hudson, Shannon;
rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu>; Yarbrough, Barbara G

Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Josh: I am tied up that time with something that cannot be moved, but I see that Bonnie and Barbara are available to represent Duke.

Heather S. Smith
Deputy General Counsel
Duke Energy
40 W. Broad Street, Suite 690
Greenville, SC 29601
864.370.5045 office
heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com%3cmmailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The preceding e-mail message (including any attachments) contains information that may be confidential, may be protected by the attorney-client or other applicable privileges, or may constitute non-public information. It is intended to be conveyed only to the designated recipient(s). If you are not an intended recipient of this message, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system. Use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this message by unintended recipients is not authorized and may be unlawful.

From: Bonnie D. Shealy [mailto:BShealy@robinsonlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 10:29 AM
To: Minges, Josh; Toni Hawkins; Hudson, Shannon;
rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu%3cmmailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu>>; Yarbrough, Barbara G; Smith, Heather Shirley
Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Josh:

I am available.

[<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/signatures/logo.jpg>]<<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/>>

Bonnie D. Shealy
P: 803-227-1102
F: 803-744-1551
BShealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:BShealy@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:BShealy@robinsonlaw.com%3cmmailto:BShealy@robinsonlaw.com>>
v-card<http://http://www.robinsonlaw.com/public/img/attorneys/vcard/Bonnie_D__Shealy.vcf>

Post Office Box 944
Columbia, South Carolina 29202

P: (803) 779-8900

[<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/signatures/meritas.jpg>]<<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/>>

From: Minges, Josh [mailto:Josh.Minges@psc.sc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 4, 2015 10:18 AM
To: Toni Hawkins; Hudson, Shannon;
rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu%3cmmailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu>>; Bonnie D. Shealy; barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com<mailto:barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com<mailto:barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com%3cmmailto:barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com>>; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com%3cmmailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>>>
Subject: RE: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Folks,

Since Ms. Geer is pro se, I'd like to remind her that she has until February 13 to respond to the Motion to Dismiss. Also, as stated in the letter accompanying the Motion, I note that ORS has until February 11 to submit its pre-filed testimony. In the meanwhile, let's establish a conference call to discuss the Complaint. Would everyone be available on Friday, February 6 at 10:30?

Josh Minges
Hearing Examiner

From: Toni Hawkins [mailto:THawkins@robinsonlaw.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 03, 2015 10:04 AM
To: Minges, Josh; Hudson, Shannon;
rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu<mailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu%3cmmailto:rgeer3376@andersonuniversity.edu>>
Cc: Bonnie D. Shealy; barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com<mailto:barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com<mailto:barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com%3cmmailto:barbara.yarbrough@duke-energy.com>>; heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com<mailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com%3cmmailto:heather.smith@duke-energy.com>>>
Subject: Geer v Duke Energy (PSC Docket No. 2015-34-E)

Please see attached Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's Motion to Dismiss which has been electronically filed with the Commission.

Thank you.

[<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/signatures/logo.jpg>]<<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/>>

Toni C Hawkins

Paralegal

P: (803) 744-1589

F: (803) 252-0724

thawkins@robinsonlaw.com<mailto:thawkins@robinsonlaw.com%3cmmailto:thawkins@robinsonlaw.com>>

v-card<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/public/img/attorneys/vcard/Toni_Hawkins.vcf>

Mailing Address:

Post Office Box 944

Columbia, South Carolina 29202

Physical Address:

1901 Main Street, Suite 1200

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

P: (803) 779-8900

[http://www.robinsonlaw.com/signatures/meritas.jpg]<http://www.robinsonlaw.com/>

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.
For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.
For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast.
For more information please visit <http://www.mimecast.com>